

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,380	02/12/2001	Gang-Ho Kim	0630-1238P	7042
2292	7590 02/14/2005		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH &	GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN		
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2637	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CT

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	09/780,380	KIM, GANG-HO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Qutub Ghulamali	2637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Se	ptember 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 10</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 6-9 and 12-15 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign particle. All blue Some * club None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	÷				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
Attachment(s)	A) [] 1-1					
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/780,380

Art Unit: 2637

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 09/16/2004.
- 2. The examiner acknowledges corrections by the applicant regarding informalities pertaining to the disclosure and claims, cited in first office action. The objections therefore, have been withdrawn. Claims 1 and 4 rejection under 35 U.S.C 112, has also been withdrawn in view of the applicants' clarification.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see page 4, lines 3-6, filed 9/16/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection to claim 2 has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection to claim 1 is made in view of newly discovered art.

This rejection(s) based on newly discovered art follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 5 and 11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's disclosed conventional art in view of Endres et al (US Patent No. 6,426,972).

Regarding claim 1, the applicant's disclosed conventional art discloses a channel equalizer, comprising:

an equalizer filter (figs. 1 and 4, unit 10), for correcting an error upon receipt of a signal transmitted by a sending end (page 2, lines 5-6);

a DD slicer (unit 20) for calculating a first error (22) upon receipt of the corrected signal from the equalizer filter (unit 10) (page 3, lines 3-5);

a Sato slicer (unit 50) for calculating a second error (52) upon receipt of the corrected signal from the equalizer filter (page 3, lines 8-9). The Applicant's disclosed conventional art further discloses a DD error size calculation unit (40) for calculating the size of the outputted DD error. However applicant's disclosed conventional art is silent regarding "taking the absolute value of a real part and an imaginary part of the first error calculated from the DD slicer and summing the absolute value of the real part and the absolute value of the imaginary part of the first error to obtain a sum."

In the same field of endeavor, Endres et al discloses a reduced complexity equalizer (fig. 5), consists of taking the absolute value of a real part (in-phase I) and an imaginary part (quadrature phase Q) of the first error calculated from the DD slicer and summing the absolute value of the real part (516) and the absolute value of the imaginary part (518) of the first error to obtain a sum (col. 12, lines 45-63). It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use an absolute value circuit as taught by Endres in the channelizer of

Art Unit: 2637

conventional art, because it can minimize channel impairment and allow the equalizer to compensate for frequency distortions in the transmitted signals.

Regarding claim 5, the applicant's disclosed conventional art (fig. 1) discloses the first error a DD error (output 22) and a second error a Sato error (output 52) (page 4, lines 3-7).

Regarding claim 11, claim 11 is nothing more than restating the function of the specific components of the apparatus as claimed above and therefore, it would have been obvious, considering the aforementioned rejection for the apparatus claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 6-9, 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 2, 3, 4, 10 allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to teach or suggest, alone or in combination, an error control method for a channel equalizer comprising: obtaining the absolute value of an inverse response signal of a channel by multiplying the sum by the second error multiplied by the second scale constant and adding the resultant value to the first error multiplied by the first scale constant as recited in the independent claim 2 and in combination with the other elements of the claims.

Claims 3, 4 and 10 are allowed by virtue of the dependency to claim highlighted above.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patents:

Fukuoka et al (US Patent 6,421,378) shows a signal waveform equalizer for reducing circuit size.

Duncan et al (US Patent 6,426,680) discloses a system and method for narrow band tuning.

Velez et al (US Patent 6,188,722) shows a sequential Blind convergence process in an adaptive Decision Directed equalizer.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 8, 2005.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER